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### 1.0 Project Description

When major industrial investments come to small communities, the local social impacts can be significant and transformative. The town of Kitimat has been an industrial centre in northwestern British Columbia since the early 1950s. The town is now experiencing a large number of industrial construction projects that will change and renew the local economy. These construction projects will also impact and change the community. This research involves a longterm tracking study of the economic and social transformation processes now getting underway in Kitimat, BC.

The research will involve two aspects. The first is the tracking of available and emerging data on industrial projects, temporary and permanent workforces, and various other community economic and social development topics identified as important during these sorts of renewals. The second is the use of longitudinal, qualitative interviews with people involved in a range of community sectors to review how economic and industrial activity is reshaping and changing the community. The project work reported here was carried out by a research team from the UNBC Community Development Institute in the winter and fall of 2012 with the goal to track changes, pressures, and actions relevant to decision-making over community planning and infrastructure investments. This report includes and reviews data on a number of socio-economic indicators. The data covers the Kitimat, as well as Terrace and the Kitimat-Stikine Regional District for comparative purposes, and comes from the Census of Canada. This data provides information on trends and changes in these communities.

### 2.0 About Census Data

The data and information for this report was collected through a review of Statistics Canada's Census data. The Census is undertaken every five years and provides information on the age distribution and socio-economic characteristics of local populations. While Census data categories may change between Census periods, it is none-the-less possible to conduct an assessment of the population based on the following issues:

- The rates of population growth,
- The changing age structure and household composition of the population,
- Employment and income characteristics, and
- Housing characteristics.

As detailed in the Methodology Report, caution must be taken when interpreting Census data for smaller communities. For example, it is important to understand that Statistics Canada applies a "rounding" procedure to data in order to protect the anonymity of residents. As well, the boundaries of some data collection areas have also changed over time.

The data included in this report is largely drawn from Census periods up until 2006. While figures for total population and household characteristics for the 2011 Census were released in

2012, more specific socio-economic data will be released over the next couple of years. It is also important to acknowledge that while this information provides an important foundation to understand trends and changes over time, caution should be used when interpreting or using the data as there have been many changes in the area since the Census data was collected.

Caution must be taken when interpreting the Census recording of Aboriginal population data. Data collection has proven to be a challenge for Statistics Canada, and the community data should be treated as 'undercounting" Aboriginal population data. In the case of the 2006 Census, the non-response rate for Kitamaat was $25 \%$ or more for the long form Census. As a result, this data was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough by Statistics Canada to disseminate. Data from questions recorded in the short form of the 2006 Census, however, is included.

### 3.0 Area Description

Growth in many northern BC communities was driven by an expansion of resource development. Since the 1980s, however, these places have been impacted by industrial consolidation, the adoption of labour shedding technology by industry, and a retrenchment of government services and supports. In addition to these changes, the more recent global recession has produced a number of social and economic pressures.

This part of the report describes the socio-economic characteristics of residents living in Kitimat, Terrace, and the surrounding rural areas in the Kitimat Stikine Regional District (Figure 1). This information can be useful to assess socio-economic issues of change. Key characteristics include age distribution, family characteristics, housing characteristics, employment, and income.

The Census figures for 2011 show that the total population in Kitimat has declined by roughly $7 \%$ since 2006, while the population for Terrace and the Kitimat-Stikine Regional District has remained more stable. Looking at available Census data to 2006, there is an aging population both in terms of an older workforce and a growth in the number of seniors. The emergence of an aging population has also been influenced by an out-migration of young families. Since the 1990s, Kitimat, Terrace, and other places across the Kitimat-Stikine Regional District have experienced a loss of single residents and a rise in residents who are divorced or separated. At the same time, however, these places have experienced an increase in the number of widowed residents. Such residents, particularly older rural women, can be at-risk for living in poverty as they may no longer have access to the earnings, pension benefits, and other assets that are linked to their former spouse (McLaughlin 1998). In Kitimat, the Village of Kitamaat, as well as in places around the region, there has also been an increase in lone-parent households. It is important to note that while there have been significant increases in male lone parent households since 1991, female lone-parent households continue to make up the majority of lone-parent households.

Census figures also suggest that the proportion of Aboriginal population in Kitimat has increased by almost $40 \%$ over the past 20 years - a rate that is slightly higher when compared to Terrace. While Aboriginal populations have exhibited high birth rates, the increase may also reflect a migration of Aboriginal residents to urban areas. In terms of ethnic composition, Census figures
suggest a decline across most ethnic groups over the past 20 year period. Portuguese, French, German, Italian, Punjabi, and Filipino language groups, however, continue to have a strong presence in the Kitimat area.

Figure 1: Kitimat-Stikine Regional District


Map credit: Kyle Kusch.

Using 2006 Census data, and comparing that to the BC average (47.8\%), a higher proportion of residents in the region had no post-secondary education ( $55.8 \%$ in Kitimat; $54.1 \%$ in Terrace; and $58.6 \%$ for the Kitimat-Stikine Regional District). However, the data also reveal some important trends. First, since 2001, there has been a decline in the number of residents who had only grade school or high school education. In 2006, Kitimat, as well as residents in Terrace and across the regional district, had more residents with a college or university degree compared to five years earlier. Overall, the region has been able to attract educated residents or build the educational capacity of its residents. Educational programs offered by the Kitimat Valley Institute, the Northwest Community College, and UNBC have made post-secondary educational programs more accessible across the region and have likely played a positive role in these changes.

Data on housing characteristics indicates that, in Kitimat, there has been a decline in both owned and rented dwellings. By comparison, home ownership has been increasing in Terrace and across the regional district. In Kitimat, there has also been an increase in the number of people living in apartment buildings and fewer people living in semi-detached or duplex settings.

Renters can be a vulnerable group at-risk for living in poverty due to uncertain tenure and the potential for rising costs. Different Census periods have demonstrated this risk. In 1996, for example, approximately two-thirds of all renters in Kitimat and across the regional district spent at least $30 \%$ or more of their income on rent. By 2006, however, there are fewer renters ( $32.9 \%$ ) in Kitimat spending $30 \%$ or more of their income on rent. By comparison, the proportion of homeowners that spent $30 \%$ or more of their income on mortgage payments has never exceeded 10\% in Kitimat.

Repairs, as well as higher utility and heating costs, associated with older housing can place additional financial pressures on households. Statistics Canada collects data on the condition of dwellings to determine if homes need regular maintenance, minor repairs, or major repairs. Homes that only require regular maintenance are generally those that need paint, furnace cleaning, and other routine maintenance. Minor repairs refer to repairs to floor tiles, bricks or shingles, steps, railings, or siding. Major repairs refer to repairs to plumbing, electrical wiring, structural repairs to walls, floors, and roofing. Most homes in Kitimat, Terrace, and the surrounding areas across the regional district were constructed before 1986. Of concern is the growing number of homes that show up in the Census as requiring minor and major repairs. For example, the 2001 Census revealed that almost half of the homes in Kitamaat required major repairs. Between 1991 and 2006, there was an increase of $38.3 \%$ of homes in Kitimat that required major repairs. The proportion of homes requiring major repairs has also been increasing in Terrace (17.2\%) and across the Kitimat-Stikine Regional District (14.1\%) over this same time period.

The employment and financial security of rural residents can be impacted by job losses, parttime or underemployment, and variances in wages (Aron 2006; Bruce 2006; Freudenburg and Gramling 1994; Slack and Jensen 2002). Women in rural and small town places, in particular, can be vulnerable due to lower labour participation rates and lower wages throughout their employment (Lockhead and Scott 2000; National Advisory Council on Aging 2005). In many
resource towns, women have not as often benefited from the high paying jobs (Tolbert 2006). Instead, their employment is often linked to lower paying service sector jobs that have few benefits.

Between 1991 and 2006, labour force participation rates ${ }^{1}$ declined by almost $10 \%$ for residents in Kitimat. Overall, labour force participation rates declined more for men than women. Similar trends are found across the regional district; with the exception of Terrace where labour force participation rates increased for women. When unemployment rates are examined, Census figures show that while Kitimat's unemployment rates for women have declined by $32.5 \%$ since 1991, they have increased by just over $18 \%$ for men. By comparison, unemployment rates have declined by more than one-third for Terrace residents. Of concern is that the unemployment rates in Kitamaat have been higher compared to other places across the region. There have been signs of positive change, however, as the 2001 Census revealed a decline in unemployment rates for the village.

Drawing upon data from Statistics Canada’s Labour Force Survey from 1995 to 2012, Figure 8 depicts the percentage change in employment rates compared to the previous month using a 3 month moving average for both the North Coast and Nechako Economic Region in BC. The North Coast and Nechako Economic Region is predominantly driven resource-based manufacturing. Data does not show progressive boom and bust cycles that are often associated with resource-based economies, but rather there are constant fluctuations or waves of change over time. What is unique to this region, however, is that the fluctuations or percent change in the employment rates vary much more drastically when compared to trends exhibited for the province as a whole. Rather than coping with the pressures of growth or contraction, community organizations are forced into a perpetual state of readiness to react to both.

Between 2001 and 2006, Kitimat experienced employment gains in mining; information and cultural industries; professional, scientific, and technical services; administrative and support, waste management and remediation services, as well as arts, entertainment, and recreation services. More notable job losses, however, have been felt in sectors such as manufacturing; retail; finance and insurance; real estate, rental, and leasing; health care and social assistance; and public administration. By comparison, between 2001 and 2006, Terrace experienced employment gains in administration and support, waste management, and remediation services; education services; health care and social assistance services, accommodation and food services; and public administration. However, there were fewer jobs in resource-based manufacturing; wholesale trade; retail; transportation and warehousing; real estate, rental, and leasing; and arts, entertainment, and recreation. Places across the Kitimat-Stikine Regional District exhibited similar trends with gains in mining, information and cultural industries; professional, scientific, and technical services; education; health care and social assistance; and arts, entertainment, and recreation services; and losses in agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting; utilities;

[^0]construction; resource-based manufacturing; wholesale trade; retail trade; transportation and warehousing; finance and insurance; and public administration.

Between 2001 and 2006, incomes increased in Kitimat and across the region. However, the wage gap between men and women remained. Women who work part-time are particularly atrisk for living in poverty. When statistics on the prevalence of low income are reviewed, key vulnerable groups in Kitimat include female lone-parents and single residents (especially single, female residents). By comparison, in Terrace, there is a higher proportion of lone parent households (both male and female) and a higher proportion of single residents with low incomes. A higher prevalence of low-incomes for these vulnerable groups also exists across the KitimatStikine Regional District.

### 4.0 Conclusion

The purpose of this report has been to provide background information on socio-economic characteristics for Kitimat and other places across the Kitimat-Stikine Regional District and use this information to understand emerging issues across the region. This information can also assist local leaders, service providers, community groups, and residents. This project can start to help with a more comprehensive understanding of the changes taking place in Kitimat and across the region. Understanding these issues will be important as communities prepare for, and respond to, on-going social and economic change.

It must be noted again, that the data used in this report comes mainly from the Census, with the most recent data from either 2006 or 2011. The pace of change in Kitimat means that all of this information is rather 'historical' and may not reflect all of the demographic pressure points currently being experienced.
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## Appendix A: Statistics Canada Census Data - Socio-Economic Characteristics

## Statistics Canada Census Dictionary

The link below will provide information about how Statistics Canada has defined specific terms and categories that are listed in the tables throughout Appendix A.

Website: http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2006/ref/dict/azindex-eng.cfm

## Appendix A: Socio-Economic Characteristics

## Age Distribution

Table 1: Age Distribution - Kitimat

| Population | Municipality: Kitimat |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female |
| Population in 2011 | 8335 | 4280 | 4055 |
| Population in 2006 | 8987 | 4645 | 4345 |
| Population in 2001 | 10285 | 5315 | 4970 |
| 2006 to 2011 population change (\%) | -7.3 | -7.9 | -6.7 |
| 2001 to 2006 population change (\%) | -12.6 | -12.6 | -12.6 |
| Total - All persons | 8335 | 4280 | 4055 |
| Age 0-4 | 395 | 205 | 195 |
| Age 5-14 | 1000 | 510 | 490 |
| Age 15-19 | 585 | 340 | 240 |
| Age 20-24 | 415 | 205 | 210 |
| Age 25-44 | 1840 | 895 | 940 |
| Age 45-54 | 1630 | 855 | 770 |
| Age 55-64 | 1365 | 740 | 630 |
| Age 65-74 | 680 | 345 | 340 |
| Age 75-84 | 355 | 165 | 185 |
| Age 85 and over | 80 | 30 | 50 |
| Median age of the population | 44.4 | 44.8 | 44.1 |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a, 2011.
n/a: not available.

Figure 2: Age Distribution - Kitimat - 1981


Figure 3: Age Distribution - Kitimat - 2011

Table 2: Age Distribution - Kitamaat

| Population | Municipality: Kitamaat |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total | Male | Female |
| Population in 2011 | 514 | 275 | 240 |
| Population in 2006 | 514 | 280 | 230 |
| Population in 2001 | 511 | 285 | 230 |
| 2006 to 2011 population change (\%) | 0.0 | -1.8 | 4.3 |
| 2001 to 2006 population change (\%) | 0.6 | -1.8 | 0.0 |
| Total - All persons | 510 | 275 | 240 |
| Age 0-4 | 20 | 15 | 10 |
| Age 5-14 | 60 | 30 | 30 |
| Age 15-19 | 30 | 15 | 20 |
| Age 20-24 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| Age 25-44 | 120 | 60 | 45 |
| Age 45-54 | 85 | 45 | 45 |
| Age 55-64 | 65 | 35 | 25 |
| Age 65-74 | 50 | 25 | 25 |
| Age 75-84 | 40 | 15 | 15 |
| Age 85 and over | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Median age of the population | 43.6 | 41.8 | 44.7 |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a, 2011. n/a: not available.

Figure 4: Age Distribution - Kitamaat - 1991


Figure 5: Age Distribution - Kitamaat - 2011


Table 3: Age Distribution - Terrace

| Population | Municipality: Terrace |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female |
| Population in 2011 | 11485 | 5635 | 5855 |
| Population in 2006 | 11320 | 5610 | 5710 |
| Population in 2001 | 12109 | 6095 | 6010 |
| 2006 to 2011 population change (\%) | 1.5 | 0.4 | 2.5 |
| 2001 to 2006 population change (\%) | -6.5 | -8.0 | -5.0 |
| Total - All persons | 11485 | 5635 | 5855 |
| Age 0-4 | 680 | 345 | 330 |
| Age 5-14 | 1620 | 845 | 780 |
| Age 15-19 | 905 | 475 | 430 |
| Age 20-24 | 740 | 370 | 370 |
| Age 25-44 | 2810 | 1345 | 1460 |
| Age 45-54 | 1725 | 820 | 910 |
| Age 55-64 | 1500 | 755 | 745 |
| Age 65-74 | 855 | 425 | 435 |
| Age 75-84 | 475 | 205 | 270 |
| Age 85 and over | 170 | 55 | 115 |
| Median age of the population | 38.8 | 37.8 | 39.7 |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a, 2011. n/a: not available.

Figure 6: Age Distribution - Terrace - 1981


Figure 7: Age Distribution - Terrace - 2006


Table 4: Age Distribution - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Population $\quad$ Municip | Municipality: Kitimat-Stikine Regional District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female |
| Population in 2011 | 37360 | 19035 | 18320 |
| Population in 2006 | 38000 | 19445 | 18555 |
| Population in 2001 | 40876 | 21085 | 19790 |
| 2006 to 2011 population change (\%) | -1.7 | -2.1 | -1.3 |
| 2001 to 2006 population change (\%) | -7.0 | -7.8 | -6.2 |
| Total - All persons | 37360 | 19035 | 18320 |
| Age 0-4 | 2295 | 1170 | 1120 |
| Age 5-14 | 4915 | 2565 | 2355 |
| Age 15-19 | 2815 | 1495 | 1320 |
| Age 20-24 | 2220 | 1140 | 1085 |
| Age 25-44 | 8895 | 4380 | 4515 |
| Age 45-54 | 6235 | 3155 | 3085 |
| Age 55-64 | 5320 | 2800 | 2520 |
| Age 65-74 | 2935 | 1530 | 1400 |
| Age 75-84 | 1380 | 680 | 700 |
| Age 85 and over | 360 | 130 | 225 |
| Median age of the population | 40.3 | 40.3 | 40.3 |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a, 2011.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ : not available.

Fig 8: Age Distribution Kitimat-Stikine RD - 1981


Fig 9: Age Distribution - Kitimat-Stikine RD - 2011

## Legal Marital Status

Table 5: Legal Marital Status - Kitimat

| Legal Marital Status | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2011 } \end{aligned}$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 11305 | 8380 | 8075 | 7340 | 6940 | -38.6 | -5.5 |
| Male | 5865 | 4320 | 4135 | 3745 | 3570 | -39.1 | -4.7 |
| Female | 5440 | 4060 | 3940 | 3595 | 3370 | -38.1 | -6.3 |
| Total - Single | 5280 | 2120 | 2430 | 2155 | 1595 | -69.8 | -26.0 |
| Male | 2870 | 1230 | 1375 | 1215 | 980 | -65.9 | -19.3 |
| Female | 2410 | 890 | 1055 | 940 | 615 | -74.5 | -34.6 |
| Total - Married | 5090 | 5430 | 4545 | 4075 | 4385 | -13.9 | 7.6 |
| Male | 2565 | 2730 | 2280 | 2045 | 2205 | -14.0 | 7.8 |
| Female | 2525 | 2705 | 2270 | 2025 | 2180 | -13.7 | 7.7 |
| Total - Separated | 265 | 225 | 255 | 275 | 220 | -17.0 | -20.0 |
| Male | 140 | 100 | 140 | 150 | 120 | -14.3 | -20.0 |
| Female | 125 | 120 | 115 | 130 | 105 | -16.0 | -19.2 |
| Total - Divorced | 440 | 350 | 545 | 495 | 380 | -13.6 | -23.2 |
| Male | 240 | 190 | 285 | 265 | 205 | -14.6 | -22.6 |
| Female | 195 | 160 | 265 | 230 | 180 | -7.7 | -21.7 |
| Total - Widowed | 230 | 260 | 300 | 335 | 360 | 56.5 | 7.5 |
| Male | 55 | 60 | 60 | 65 | 65 | 18.2 | 0.0 |
| Female | 175 | 200 | 240 | 265 | 295 | 68.6 | 11.3 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

Table 6: Legal Marital Status - Kitamaat

| Legal Marital Status | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> \% Change <br> 2006-2011 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 400 | 450 | 410 | 430 | 430 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
| Male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 225 | 235 | 230 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | -2.1 |
| Female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 185 | 195 | 200 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2.6 |
| Total - Single | 165 | 200 | 195 | 200 | 175 | 6.1 | -12.5 |
| Male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 120 | 115 | 105 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | -8.7 |
| Female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 75 | 85 | 65 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | -23.5 |
| Total - Married | 175 | 170 | 145 | 155 | 185 | 5.7 | 19.4 |
| Male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 70 | 80 | 90 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 12.5 |
| Female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 75 | 80 | 95 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 18.8 |
| Total - Separated | 15 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| Male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 15 | 5 | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 100.0 |
| Female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 | 5 | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 100.0 |
| Total - Divorced | 15 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 20 | 33.3 | -33.3 |
| Male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 15 | 20 | 15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | -25.0 |
| Female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.0 |
| Total - Widowed | 30 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 40 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| Male | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 10 | 15 | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | -33.3 |
| Female | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 20 | 20 | 30 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 50.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

Table 7: Legal Marital Status - Terrace

| Legal Marital Status | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> 1991-2011 | \% Change <br> 2006-2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 11435 | 9485 | 9175 | 8840 | 9180 | -19.7 | 3.8 |
| Male | 5820 | 4730 | 4570 | 4335 | 4445 | -23.6 | 2.5 |
| Female | 5615 | 4750 | 4600 | 4510 | 4735 | -15.7 | 5.0 |
| Total - Single | 5725 | 2535 | 3025 | 2955 | 2530 | -55.8 | -14.4 |
| Male | 3100 | 1440 | 1695 | 1600 | 1365 | -56.0 | -14.7 |
| Female | 2625 | 1100 | 1330 | 1355 | 1160 | -55.8 | -14.4 |
| Total - Married | 4530 | 5845 | 4605 | 4335 | 5260 | 16.1 | 21.3 |
| Male | 2285 | 2925 | 2305 | 2170 | 2625 | 14.9 | 21.0 |
| Female | 2245 | 2915 | 2300 | 2165 | 2640 | 17.6 | 21.9 |
| Total - Separated | 320 | 310 | 420 | 385 | 340 | 6.3 | -11.7 |
| Male | 140 | 125 | 190 | 160 | 140 | 0.0 | -12.5 |
| Female | 180 | 185 | 230 | 220 | 200 | 11.1 | -9.1 |
| Total - Divorced | 500 | 395 | 655 | 675 | 515 | 3.0 | -23.7 |
| Male | 230 | 175 | 290 | 305 | 215 | -6.5 | -29.5 |
| Female | 270 | 220 | 365 | 370 | 295 | 9.3 | -20.3 |
| Total - Widowed | 360 | 400 | 465 | 490 | 540 | 50.0 | 10.2 |
| Male | 65 | 65 | 90 | 95 | 95 | 46.2 | 0.0 |
| Female | 300 | 330 | 375 | 400 | 445 | 48.3 | 11.3 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.
Table 8: Legal Marital Status - Kitimat Stikine Regional District

| Legal Marital Status | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2011 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & 2006-2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 30510 | 32170 | 31175 | 29935 | 30150 | -1.2 | 0.7 |
| Male | n/a | n/a | 16005 | 15205 | 15300 | n/a | 0.6 |
| Female | n/a | n/a | 15170 | 14730 | 14845 | n/a | 0.8 |
| Total - Single | 10010 | 11010 | 10925 | 10730 | 8295 | -17.1 | -22.7 |
| Male | n/a | n/a | 6215 | 5985 | 4800 | n/a | -19.8 |
| Female | n/a | n/a | 4710 | 4745 | 3495 | n/a | -26.3 |
| Total - Married | 16500 | 16515 | 15490 | 14360 | 17600 | 6.7 | 22.6 |
| Male | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 7760 | 7180 | 8805 | n/a | 22.6 |
| Female | n/a | n/a | 7730 | 7175 | 8795 | n/a | 22.6 |
| Total - Separated | 1170 | 1295 | 1300 | 1275 | 1100 | -6.0 | -13.7 |
| Male | n/a | n/a | 670 | 625 | 545 | n/a | -12.8 |
| Female | n/a | n/a | 635 | 650 | 555 | n/a | -14.6 |
| Total - Divorced | 1760 | 2150 | 2135 | 2050 | 1600 | -9.1 | -22.0 |
| Male | n/a | n/a | 1050 | 1045 | 795 | n/a | -23.9 |
| Female | n/a | n/a | 1085 | 1000 | 810 | n/a | -19.0 |
| Total - Widowed | 1070 | 1195 | 1325 | 1520 | 1550 | 44.9 | 2.0 |
| Male | n/a | n/a | 310 | 370 | 360 | n/a | -2.7 |
| Female | n/a | n/a | 1015 | 1150 | 1190 | n/a | 3.5 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

## Family Characteristics

Table 9: Selected Family Characteristics - Kitimat

| Selected Family Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2011 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2006-2011 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of families | 3065 | 3090 | 3010 | 2700 | 2535 | -17.3 | -6.1 |
| Number of married-couple families | 2490 | 2385 | 2255 | 1995 | 1765 | -29.1 | -11.5 |
| Number of common-law couple families | 265 | 315 | 325 | 355 | 380 | 43.4 | 7.0 |
| Number of lone-parent families | 310 | 390 | 430 | 355 | 390 | 25.8 | 9.9 |
| Number of female loneparent families | 225 | 315 | 320 | 280 | 270 | 20.0 | -3.6 |
| Number of male lone-parent families | 80 | 75 | 110 | 75 | 120 | 50.0 | 60.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.
Table 10: Selected Family Characteristics - Kitamaat

| Selected Family Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006* | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2011 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2006-2011 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of families | 130 | 150 | 155 | n/a | 150 | 15.4 | n/a |
| Number of married-couple families | 100 | 80 | 75 | n/a | 65 | -35.0 | n/a |
| Number of common-law couple families | 15 | 15 | 25 | n/a | 25 | 66.7 | n/a |
| Number of lone-parent families | 30 | 55 | 60 | n/a | 60 | 100.0 | n/a |
| Number of female loneparent families | 20 | 25 | 35 | n/a | 35 | 75.0 | n/a |
| Number of male lone-parent families | 10 | 25 | 25 | n/a | 25 | 150.0 | n/a |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 11: Selected Family Characteristics - Terrace

| Selected Family <br> Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 |  |  | \% Change <br> 1991-2011 | \% Change <br> 2006-2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of families | 2985 | 3440 | 3385 | 3170 | 3220 | 7.9 | 1.6 |
| Number of married-couple <br> families | 2180 | 2400 | 2280 | 2125 | 2040 | -6.4 | -4.0 |
| Number of common-law <br> couple families | 375 | 480 | 495 | 515 | 545 | 45.3 | 5.8 |
| Number of lone-parent <br> families | 425 | 555 | 615 | 525 | 630 | 48.2 | 20.0 |
| Number of female lone- <br> parent <br> families | 325 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 500 | 53.8 | 8.7 |
| Number of male lone-parent <br> families | 95 | 95 | 150 | 60 | 135 | 42.1 | 125.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011. n/c = not calculable.

Table 12: Selected Family Characteristics - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Selected Family <br> Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> $1991-2011$ | \% Change <br> 2006-2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of families | 10920 | 11645 | 11565 | 10910 | 10770 | -1.4 | -1.3 |
| Number of married-couple <br> families | 8025 | 8165 | 7690 | 6990 | 6570 | -18.1 | -6.0 |
| Number of common-law <br> couple families | 1540 | 1790 | 1815 | 1905 | 2070 | 34.4 | 8.7 |
| Number of lone-parent <br> families | 1350 | 1685 | 2065 | 2020 | 2130 | 57.8 | 5.4 |
| Number of female lone- <br> parent <br> families | 995 | 1315 | 1495 | 1525 | 1500 | 50.8 | -1.6 |
| Number of male lone-parent <br> families | 355 | 370 | 570 | 490 | 625 | 76.1 | 27.6 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

## Culture

Table 13: Aboriginal Population - Kitimat

| Aboriginal Population | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> 1996-2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - All persons | 11135 | 10235 | 8950 | -19.6 | -12.6 |
| Total - Aboriginal identity <br> population | 545 | 540 | 755 | 38.5 | 39.8 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1996, 2001, 2006a.
Table 14: Aboriginal Population - Kitamaat

| Aboriginal Population | 1996 | 2001 | $2006^{*}$ | \% Change <br> 1996-2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - All persons | 560 | 510 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Aboriginal identity <br> population | 550 | 500 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Statistics Canada 1996, 2001, 2006a.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 15: Aboriginal Population - Terrace

| Aboriginal Population | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> \% Change <br> 1996-2006 | 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - All persons | 12780 | 12020 | 11195 | -12.4 | -6.9 |
| Total - Aboriginal identity <br> population | 1280 | 1775 | 2380 | 85.9 | 34.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 16: Aboriginal Population - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Aboriginal Population | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> 1996-2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - All persons | 43445 | 40725 | 37815 | -13.0 | -7.1 |
| Total - Aboriginal identity <br> population | 10210 | 10960 | 12275 | 20.2 | 12.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 17: Mother Tongue - Kitimat

|  | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | \% Change 1991-2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2006-2011 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population excluding institutional residents | 10915 | 11105 | 10235 | 8950 | 8300 | -24.0 | -7.3 |
| English | 8275 | 8125 | 7465 | 6610 | 6495 | -21.5 | -1.7 |
| French | 185 | 190 | 310 | 295 | 235 | 27.0 | -20.3 |
| Cantonese | - | - | 15 | 30 | 10 | - | -66.7 |
| Chinese | 85 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 25 | -70.6 | n/c |
| Danish | - | 15 | 0 | 10 | 5 | - | -50.0 |
| Dutch | 60 | 70 | 50 | 40 | 35 | -41.7 | -12.5 |
| Finnish | - | 45 | 60 | 65 | 45 | - | -30.8 |
| German | 410 | 335 | 275 | 370 | 210 | -48.8 | -43.2 |
| Greek | 55 | 60 | 60 | 35 | 20 | -63.6 | -42.9 |
| Hindi | - | 40 | 55 | 15 | 5 | - | -66.7 |
| Hungarian | - | 40 | 40 | 25 | 10 | - | -60.7 |
| Italian | 130 | 160 | 120 | 50 | 85 | -34.6 | 70.0 |
| Japanese | - | 30 | 10 | 10 | 5 | - | -50.0 |
| Korean | - | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.0 |
| Mandarin | - | - | 0 | 25 | 5 | - | -80.0 |
| Punjabi | 265 | 295 | 250 | 125 | 80 | -69.8 | -36.0 |
| Polish | 55 | 110 | 25 | 10 | 20 | -63.6 | 100.0 |
| Portugeuse | 970 | 1140 | 1110 | 815 | 645 | -33.5 | -20.9 |
| Spanish | 35 | 20 | 65 | 35 | 30 | -14.3 | -14.3 |
| Tagalog (Filipino) | 35 | 35 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 71.4 | 20.0 |
| Ukranian | 30 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 15 | -50.0 | -70.0 |
| Other | 325 | 305 | 240 | 285 | 260 | -20.0 | -8.8 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

Table 18: Mother Tongue - Kitamaat

|  | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006* | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2011 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2006-2011 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population excluding institutional residents | 515 | 540 | 510 | n/a | 510 | -1.0 | n/a |
| English | 385 | 415 | 395 | n/a | 390 | 1.3 | n/a |
| French | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Cantonese | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Chinese | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Danish | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Dutch | 5 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 5 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Finnish | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| German | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Greek | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Hindi | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Hungarian | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Italian | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Japanese | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Korean | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Mandarin | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Punjabi | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Polish | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Portugeuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Spanish | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Tagalog (Filipino) | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Ukranian | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Other** | 130 | 120 | 120 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 105 | -19.2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.
**Note: Census profile only provides data for a small subset of selected Aboriginal languages.

Table 19: Mother Tongue - Terrace

|  | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population excluding institutional residents | 11150 | 12660 | 12020 | 11190 | 11325 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| English | 9280 | 10385 | 10180 | 9395 | 9735 | 4.9 | 3.6 |
| French | 205 | 265 | 185 | 185 | 180 | -12.2 | -2.7 |
| Cantonese | - | - | 15 | 10 | 15 |  | 50.0 |
| Chinese | 35 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 20 | -42.9 | 100.0 |
| Danish | - | 15 | 30 | 15 | 10 |  | -33.3 |
| Dutch | 120 | 125 | 95 | 75 | 70 | -41.7 | -6.7 |
| Finnish | - | 15 | 10 | 20 | 15 |  | -25.0 |
| German | 265 | 265 | 265 | 240 | 175 | -34.0 | -27.1 |
| Greek | 25 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 | -60.0 | 0.0 |
| Hindi | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| Hungarian | - | 25 | 45 | 0 | 15 |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Italian | 50 | 85 | 40 | 40 | 45 | -10.0 | 12.5 |
| Japanese | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | n/c |
| Korean | - | 0 | 0 | 45 | 10 |  | -77.8 |
| Mandarin | - | - | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | n/c |
| Punjabi | 435 | 450 | 380 | 500 | 225 | -48.3 | -55.0 |
| Polish | 10 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 100.0 | n/c |
| Portugeuse | 375 | 455 | 340 | 300 | 285 | -24.0 | -5.0 |
| Spanish | 15 | 15 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 100.0 | -14.3 |
| Tagalog (Filipino) | 40 | 50 | 45 | 10 | 60 | 50.0 | 500.0 |
| Ukranian | 40 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 20 | -50.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 255 | 395 | 305 | 280 | 375 | 47.1 | 33.9 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

| Table 20: Mother Tongue - Kitimat Stikine Regional District |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | \% Change 1991-2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population excluding institutional residents | 40785 | 43445 | 40720 | 37815 | 37145 | -8.9 | -1.8 |
| English | 33640 | 34890 | 33370 | 30255 | 31280 | -7.0 | 3.4 |
| French | 790 | 860 | 820 | 755 | 720 | -8.9 | -4.6 |
| Cantonese | - | - | 35 | 35 | 30 | - | -14.3 |
| Chinese | 140 | 185 | 35 | 45 | 65 | -53.6 | 44.4 |
| Danish | - | 65 | 65 | 55 | 30 | - | -45.5 |
| Dutch | 250 | 325 | 230 | 145 | 170 | -32.0 | 17.2 |
| Finnish | - | 75 | 90 | 150 | 65 | - | -56.7 |
| German | 975 | 1005 | 855 | 1065 | 660 | -32.3 | -38.0 |
| Greek | 80 | 80 | 85 | 45 | 35 | -56.3 | -22.2 |
| Hindi | - | 45 | 55 | 20 | 20 | - | 0.0 |
| Hungarian | - | 80 | 110 | 45 | 40 | - | -11.1 |
| Italian | 200 | 265 | 180 | 110 | 140 | -30.0 | 27.3 |
| Japanese | - | 40 | 15 | 15 | 15 | - | 0.0 |
| Korean | - | 0 | 15 | 45 | 10 | - | -77.8 |
| Mandarin | - | - | 0 | 25 | 10 | - | -60.0 |
| Punjabi | 705 | 750 | 630 | 710 | 315 | -55.3 | -55.6 |
| Polish | 80 | 140 | 55 | 25 | 50 | -37.5 | 100.0 |
| Portugeuse | 1385 | 1665 | 1520 | 1135 | 965 | -30.3 | -15.0 |
| Spanish | 65 | 95 | 130 | 90 | 95 | 46.2 | 5.6 |
| Tagalog (Filipino) | 75 | 95 | 95 | 85 | 135 | 80.0 | 58.8 |
| Ukranian | 100 | 75 | 60 | 80 | 45 | -55.0 | -43.8 |
| Other | 2300 | 2710 | 2270 | 2880 | 2250 | -2.2 | -21.9 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

## Education

Table 21: Level of Education - Kitimat

| Educational Attainment | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 8025 | 7270 | -9.4 |
| Male | 4105 | 3705 | -9.7 |
| Female | 3925 | 3565 | -9.2 |
| Total - No certificate, diploma or <br> degree | 2645 | 1935 | -26.8 |
| Male | 1250 | 955 | -23.6 |
| Female | 1400 | 980 | -30.0 |
| Total - High school certificate or <br> equivalent | 2320 | 2125 | -8.4 |
| Male | 1125 | 985 | -12.4 |
| Female | 1200 | 1140 | -5.0 |
| Total - Apprenticeship or trades <br> certificate or diploma | 1105 | 1135 | 2.7 |
| Male | 900 | 910 | 1.1 |
| Female | 210 | 225 | 7.1 |
| Total - College, CEGEP or other <br> non-university certificate or <br> diploma | 1200 | 1240 | 3.3 |
| Male | 500 | 490 | -2.0 |
| Female | 700 | 750 | 7.1 |
| Total - University certificate or <br> diploma below the bachelor level | 175 | 235 | 34.3 |
| Male | 50 | 115 | 130.0 |
| Female | 130 | 120 | -7.7 |
| Total - University certificate, <br> diploma, or degree | 575 | 595 | 3.5 |
| Male | 290 | 250 | -13.8 |
| Female | 285 | 345 | 21.1 |
| Sore: Static |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.

Table 22: Level of Education - Kitamaat

| Educational Attainment | 2001 | 2006* <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 415 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 235 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 185 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - No certificate, diploma or <br> degree | 240 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 135 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 105 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - High school certificate or <br> equivalent | 80 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 45 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 40 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Apprenticeship or trades <br> certificate or diploma | 40 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 35 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - College, CEGEP or other <br> non-university certificate or <br> diploma | 50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 20 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n |
| Female | 25 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - University certificate or <br> diploma below the bachelor level | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - University certificate, <br> diploma, or degree | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 23: Level of Education - Terrace

| Educational Attainment | 2001 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 9110 | 8735 | -4.1 |
| Male | 4535 | 4285 | -5.5 |
| Female | 4575 | 4445 | -2.8 |
| Total - No certificate, diploma or <br> degree | 2960 | 2190 | -26.0 |
| Male | 1545 | 1175 | -23.9 |
| Female | 1410 | 1015 | -28.0 |
| Total - High school certificate or <br> equivalent | 2480 | 2535 | 2.2 |
| Male | 1160 | 1185 | 2.2 |
| Female | 1320 | 1345 | 1.9 |
| Total - Apprenticeship or trades <br> certificate or diploma | 1030 | 915 | -11.1 |
| Male | 740 | 660 | -10.8 |
| Female | 290 | 255 | -12.1 |
| Total - College, CEGEP or other <br> non-university certificate or <br> diploma | 1440 | 1580 | 9.7 |
| Male | 210 | 455 | 13.0 |
| Female | 80 | 200 | 116.7 |
| Total - University certificate or <br> diploma below the bachelor level | 135 | 255 | 150.0 |
| Male | 980 | 1060 | 88.9 |
| Female | 450 | 490 | 8.2 |
| Total - University certificate, <br> diploma, or degree | 525 | 570 | 88.9 |
| Male | 585 | 8.6 |  |
| Female | 1000 | 4.5 |  |
| Sorce Station |  |  | 13.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.

Table 24: Level of Education - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Educational Attainment | 2001 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Population 15 years + | 31035 | 29740 | -4.2 |
| Male | 15950 | 15120 | -5.2 |
| Female | 15085 | 14620 | -3.1 |
| Total - No certificate, diploma or <br> degree | 11145 | 9365 | -16.0 |
| Male | 5920 | 4935 | -16.6 |
| Female | 5225 | 4430 | -15.2 |
| Total - High school certificate or <br> equivalent | 8310 | 8075 | -2.8 |
| Male | 3855 | 3840 | -0.4 |
| Female | 4455 | 4235 | -4.9 |
| Total - Apprenticeship or trades <br> certificate or diploma | 4340 | 3945 | -9.1 |
| Male | 3135 | 2900 | -7.5 |
| Female | 1205 | 1040 | -13.7 |
| Total - College, CEGEP or other <br> non-university certificate or <br> diploma | 4290 | 4725 | 10.1 |
| Male | 1755 | 1840 | 4.8 |
| Female | 2535 | 2885 | 13.8 |
| Total - University certificate or <br> diploma below the bachelor level | 525 | 1095 | 108.6 |
| Male | 170 | 460 | 170.6 |
| Female | 355 | 640 | 80.3 |
| Total - University certificate, <br> diploma, or degree | 1115 | 1145 | 4.8 |
| Male | 1305 | 1390 | 2.7 |
| Female | 6.5 |  |  |
| Souce Sta |  | 4.8 |  |

[^1]
## Housing Characteristics

Table 25: Housing Characteristics - Kitimat

| Selected Occupied Private <br> Dwelling Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> $2001-2006$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of dwellings | 3825 | 3910 | 3795 | 3630 | -5.1 | -4.3 |
| Number of owned dwellings | 2795 | 2990 | 3065 | 2925 | 4.7 | -4.6 |
| Number of rented dwellings | 1035 | 920 | 725 | 705 | -31.9 | -2.8 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 26: Housing Characteristics - Kitamaat

| Selected Occupied Private <br> Dwelling Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006* | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Total number of dwellings | 150 | 165 | 165 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Number of owned dwellings | 130 | 140 | 115 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Number of rented dwellings | 15 | 20 | 30 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 27: Housing Characteristics - Terrace

| Selected Occupied Private <br> Dwelling Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Total number of dwellings | 3785 | 4340 | 4380 | 4320 | 14.1 | -1.4 |
| Number of owned dwellings | 2500 | 2805 | 3025 | 3115 | 24.6 | 3.0 |
| Number of rented dwellings | 1290 | 1540 | 1350 | 1210 | -6.2 | -10.4 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
Table 28: Housing Characteristics - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Selected Occupied Private <br> Dwelling Characteristics | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of dwellings | 13610 | 14630 | 14605 | 14370 | 5.6 | -1.6 |
| Number of owned dwellings | 9475 | 10185 | 10580 | 10685 | 12.8 | 1.0 |
| Number of rented dwellings | 3885 | 3915 | 3585 | 3370 | -13.3 | -6.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.

## Dwelling Characteristics

Table 29: Type of Dwelling - Kitimat

| Type of Dwelling | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> 1991-2011 | \% Change <br> 2006-2011 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of occupied <br> private dwellings by <br> structural type of dwelling | 3825 | 3910 | 3790 | 3630 | 3630 | -5.1 | 0.0 |
| Single-detached house | 2260 | 2470 | 2370 | 2280 | 2300 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| Semi-detached house | 445 | 410 | 410 | 455 | 420 | -5.6 | -7.7 |
| Row house | 395 | 320 | 380 | 350 | 335 | -15.2 | -4.3 |
| Apartment, detached duplex | 40 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 50 | 25.0 | -16.7 |
| Apartment building, five or <br> more storeys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Apartment building, less than <br> five storeys | 570 | 525 | 440 | 375 | 420 | -26.3 | 12.0 |
| Other single attached house | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Movable dwelling | 110 | 90 | 150 | 105 | 105 | -4.5 | 0.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

Table 30: Type of Dwelling - Kitamaat

| Type of Dwelling | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2011 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2006-2011 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of occupied private dwellings by <br> structural type of dwelling | 145 | 170 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 24.1 | 5.9 |
| Single-detached house | 140 | 160 | 160 | 155 | 170 | 21.4 | 9.7 |
| Semi-detached house | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 5 | n/c | 0.0 |
| Row house | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Apartment, detached duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Apartment building, five or more storeys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Apartment building, less than five storeys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | n/c | n/c |
| Other single attached house | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.0 | n/c |
| Movable dwelling | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | -100.0 | -100.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

Table 31: Type of Dwelling - Terrace

| Type of Dwelling | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | \% Change 1991-2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & 2006-2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of occupied private dwellings by structural type of dwelling | 3785 | 4345 | 4380 | 4320 | 4535 | 19.8 | 5.0 |
| Single-detached house | 2505 | 2680 | 2910 | 2795 | 2930 | 17.0 | 4.8 |
| Semi-detached house | 100 | 195 | 195 | 215 | 215 | 115.0 | 0.0 |
| Row house | 210 | 280 | 255 | 280 | 325 | 54.8 | 16.1 |
| Apartment, detached duplex | 130 | 235 | 210 | 260 | 255 | 96.2 | -1.9 |
| Apartment building, five or more storeys | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Apartment building, less than five storeys | 620 | 720 | 470 | 570 | 590 | -4.8 | 3.5 |
| Other single attached house | 20 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 25.0 | 16.7 |
| Movable dwelling | 200 | 210 | 190 | 175 | 200 | 0.0 | 14.3 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.
Table 32: Type of Dwelling - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Type of Dwelling | 1991 | 1996 |  | \% Change <br> 1991-2011 | \% Change <br> 2006-2011 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of occupied <br> private dwellings by <br> structural type of dwelling | 13610 | 14635 | 14610 | 14370 | 14765 | 8.5 | 2.7 |
| Single-detached house | 9320 | 10300 | 10690 | 10530 | 10810 | 16.0 | 2.7 |
| Semi-detached house | 720 | 795 | 830 | 930 | 850 | 18.1 | -8.6 |
| Row house | 730 | 730 | 775 | 740 | 800 | 9.6 | 8.1 |
| Apartment, detached duplex | 205 | 325 | 270 | 355 | 360 | 75.6 | 1.4 |
| Apartment building, five or <br> more storeys | 5 | 0 | 120 | 5 | 0 | -100.0 | -100.0 |
| Apartment building, less than <br> five storeys | 1315 | 1325 | 1000 | 1025 | 1085 | -17.5 | 5.9 |
| Other single attached house | 40 | 90 | 40 | 45 | 55 | 37.5 | 22.2 |
| Movable dwelling | 1270 | 1055 | 890 | 740 | 805 | -36.6 | 8.8 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a, 2011.

## Housing Costs

Table 33: Housing Costs - Kitimat

| Housing Costs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of tenant occupied <br> households | 600 | 495 | 725 | 700 | 16.7 | -3.4 |
| Tenant occupied households <br> spending 30\% or more of <br> household income on gross rent | 95 | 325 | 265 | 230 | 142.1 | -13.2 |
| Total number of owner occupied <br> households | 2250 | 2375 | 3065 | 2925 | 30.0 | -4.6 |
| Owner households spending 30\% <br> or more of household income on <br> owner's major payments | 45 | 170 | 255 | 145 | 222.2 | -43.1 |
| Average value of owned dwelling | 76368 | 120582 | 120763 | 115171 |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

Table 34: Housing Costs - Kitamaat

| Housing Costs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | $2006^{*}$ | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of tenant occupied <br> households | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Tenant occupied households <br> spending 30\% or more of <br> household income on gross rent | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total number of owner occupied <br> households | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Owner households spending 30\% <br> or more of household income on <br> owner's major payments | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average value of owned dwelling |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 35: Housing Costs - Terrace

| Housing Costs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> $2001-2006$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of tenant occupied <br> households | 645 | 755 | 1355 | 1210 | 87.6 | -10.7 |
| Tenant occupied households <br> spending 30\% or more of <br> household income on gross rent | 240 | 605 | 625 | 500 | 108.3 | -20.0 |
| Total number of owner occupied <br> households | 1860 | 2125 | 3020 | 3110 | 67.2 | 3.0 |
| Owner households spending 30\% <br> or more of household income on <br> owner's major payments | 150 | 270 | 425 | 405 | 170.0 | -4.7 |
| Average value of owned dwelling | 87311 | 146420 | 145229 | 150091 |  | 71.9 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

Table 36: Housing Costs - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Housing Costs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of tenant occupied <br> households | 1985 | 1835 | 3125 | 2825 | 42.3 | -9.6 |
| Tenant occupied households <br> spending 30\% or more of <br> household income on gross rent | 455 | 1215 | 1285 | 1065 | 134.1 | -17.1 |
| Total number of owner occupied <br> households | 6285 | 6880 | 9295 | 9200 | 46.4 | -1.0 |
| Owner households spending 30\% <br> or more of household income on <br> owner's major payments | 400 | 890 | 1150 | 1055 | 163.8 | -8.3 |
| Average value of owned dwelling | 76022 | 124857 | 128392 | 132285 | 74.0 |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

## Housing Repair Needs

Table 37: Housing Repair Needs - Kitimat

| Repair Needs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> 1991-2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> before 1986 |  |  |  | 3355 |  |  |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> between 1986 and 2006 |  |  |  | 270 |  |  |
| Regular maintenance only | 2585 | 2485 | 2485 | 2115 | -18.2 | -14.9 |
| Minor repairs | 1010 | 1070 | 1000 | 1190 | 17.8 | 19.0 |
| Major repairs | 235 | 355 | 305 | 325 | 38.3 | 6.6 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 38: Housing Repair Needs - Kitamaat

| Repair Needs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | $2006^{*}$ | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> $2001-2006$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> before 1986 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> between 1986 and 2006 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |
| Regular maintenance only | 55 | 65 | 40 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Minor repairs | 25 | 55 | 50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Major repairs | 70 | 50 | 80 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 39: Housing Repair Needs - Terrace

| Repair Needs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> $2001-2006$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> before 1986 |  |  |  | 3300 |  |  |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> between 1986 and 2006 |  |  |  | 1015 |  |  |
| Regular maintenance only | 2330 | 2600 | 2725 | 2625 | 12.7 | -3.7 |
| Minor repairs | 990 | 1290 | 1220 | 1155 | 16.7 | -5.3 |
| Major repairs | 465 | 450 | 430 | 545 | 17.2 | 26.7 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 40: Housing Repair Needs - Kitimat Stikine Regional District

| Repair Needs | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> $1991-2006$ | \% Change <br> $2001-2006$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> before 1986 |  |  |  | 10935 |  |  |
| Number of dwellings constructed <br> between 1986 and 2006 |  |  |  | 3440 |  |  |
| Regular maintenance only | 7555 | 7870 | 8010 | 7120 | -5.8 | -11.1 |
| Minor repairs | 3850 | 4490 | 4345 | 4740 | 23.1 | 9.1 |
| Major repairs | 2200 | 2270 | 2250 | 2510 | 14.1 | 11.6 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

## Employment Rate

Table 41: Employment Rate - Kitimat

| Labour Force Indicators | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> 1991-2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Participation rate | 72.0 | 69.1 | 67.9 | 65.2 | -9.4 | -4.0 |
| Male | 84.2 | 77.5 | 76.1 | 74.2 | -11.9 | -2.5 |
| Female | 58.6 | 60.0 | 59.4 | 55.8 | -4.8 | -6.1 |
| Total - Employment rate |  | 62.6 | 59.8 | 59.0 |  | -1.3 |
| Male |  | 72.9 | 68.7 | 68.0 |  | -1.0 |
| Female |  | 51.6 | 50.6 | 49.6 |  | -2.0 |
| Total - Unemployment rate | 10.6 | 9.3 | 11.8 | 9.4 | -11.3 | -20.3 |
| Male | 7.1 | 5.8 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 18.3 | -12.5 |
| Female | 16.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 10.8 | -32.5 | -28.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

Table 42: Employment Rate - Kitamaat

| Labour Force Indicators | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006* | \% Change 1991-2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Participation rate | 54.4 | 52.2 | 53.7 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 66.7 | 64.0 | 63.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 38.2 | 37.5 | 40.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Employment rate |  | 33.3 | 42.7 | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male |  | 42.0 | 47.8 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Female |  | 25.0 | 37.8 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Unemployment rate | 32.6 | 31.9 | 20.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 30.0 | 31.2 | 24.1 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 38.5 | 33.3 | 13.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 43: Employment Rate - Terrace

| Labour Force Indicators | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | \% Change <br> 1991-2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Participation rate | 75.7 | 72.0 | 70.5 | 68.7 | -9.2 | -2.6 |
| Male | 85.7 | 79.7 | 76.3 | 70.8 | -17.4 | -7.2 |
| Female | 65.4 | 64.1 | 64.9 | 66.6 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| Total - Employment rate |  | 64.9 | 61.0 | 62.3 |  | 2.1 |
| Male |  | 70.8 | 64.7 | 64.3 |  | -0.6 |
| Female |  | 58.8 | 57.3 | 60.4 |  | 5.4 |
| Total - Unemployment rate | 14.3 | 9.9 | 13.5 | 9.2 | -35.7 | -31.9 |
| Male | 15.2 | 11.1 | 15.2 | 9.2 | -39.5 | -39.5 |
| Female | 13.1 | 8.3 | 11.8 | 9.3 | -29.0 | -21.2 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 44: Employment Rate - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Labour Force Indicators | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change <br> 1991-2006 | \% Change <br> 2001-2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total - Participation rate | 72.1 | 70.8 | 68.1 | 65.0 | -9.8 | -4.6 |
| Male | 81.8 | 78.1 | 74.1 | 69.8 | -14.7 | -5.8 |
| Female | 61.4 | 63.0 | 61.7 | 60.1 | -2.1 | -2.6 |
| Total - Employment rate |  | 61.1 | 56.4 | 55.9 |  | -0.9 |
| Male |  | 66.4 | 59.7 | 58.7 |  | -1.7 |
| Female |  | 55.4 | 52.9 | 52.9 |  | 0.0 |
| Total - Unemployment rate | 14.6 | 13.7 | 17.2 | 14.1 | -3.4 | -18.0 |
| Male | 14.0 | 14.9 | 19.5 | 15.9 | 13.6 | -18.5 |
| Female | 15.4 | 12.1 | 14.2 | 12.0 | -22.1 | -15.5 |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006 a.


Source: Labour Force Survey, Statistics Canada 2012.

## Labour Force Characteristics

Table 45: Labour Force by Industry - Kitimat

| Labour Force by Industry | 2001 | 2006 | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - All industries, labour force 15 years and over | 5200 | 4635 | -10.9 |
| Male | 3005 | 2705 | -10.0 |
| Female | 2190 | 1925 | -12.1 |
| Total - Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 30 | 40 | 33.3 |
| Male | 20 | 40 | 100.0 |
| Female | 10 | 0 | -100.0 |
| Total - Mining and oil / gas | 0 | 30 | - |
| Male | 0 | 25 | - |
| Female | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total - Utilities | 35 | 20 | -42.9 |
| Male | 25 | 20 | -20.0 |
| Female | 10 | 0 | -100.0 |
| Total - Construction | 230 | 200 | -13.0 |
| Male | 220 | 180 | -18.2 |
| Female | 15 | 20 | 33.3 |
| Total - Manufacturing | 2215 | 2035 | -8.1 |
| Male | 1870 | 1665 | -11.0 |
| Female | 345 | 375 | 8.7 |
| Total - Wholesale Trade | 60 | 75 | 25.0 |
| Male | 50 | 25 | -50.0 |
| Female | 15 | 45 | 200.0 |
| Total - Retail Trade | 515 | 410 | -20.4 |
| Male | 145 | 105 | -27.6 |
| Female | 370 | 305 | -17.6 |
| Total - Transportation and Warehousing | 145 | 140 | -3.4 |
| Male | 110 | 120 | 9.1 |
| Female | 40 | 20 | -50.0 |
| Total - Information and Cultural Industries | 30 | 55 | 83.3 |
| Male | 10 | 10 | 0.0 |
| Female | 20 | 40 | 100.0 |
| Total - Finance and Insurance | 150 | 60 | -60.0 |
| Male | 25 | 15 | -40.0 |
| Female | 125 | 45 | -64.0 |
| Total - Real Estate, Rental, and Leasing | 65 | 35 | -46.2 |
| Male | 35 | 10 | -71.4 |
| Female | 35 | 25 | -28.6 |
| Total - Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 60 | 85 | 41.7 |
| Male | 30 | 55 | 83.3 |
| Female | 30 | 25 | -16.7 |
| Total - Management of Companies / Enterprises | 0 | 0 | - |
| Male | 0 | 0 | - |
| Female | 0 | 0 | - |


| Total - Administrative and <br> Support, Waste Management and <br> Remediation Services | 75 | 140 | 86.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 30 | 70 | 133.3 |
| Female | 50 | 65 | 30.0 |
| Total - Educational Services | 305 | 310 | 1.6 |
| Male | 80 | 85 | 6.3 |
| Female | 225 | 225 | 0.0 |
| Total - Health Care and Social <br> Assistance | 425 | 365 | -14.1 |
| Male | 40 | 30 | -25.0 |
| Female | 385 | 330 | -14.3 |
| Total - Arts, Entertainment, and <br> Recreation | 50 | 75 | 50.0 |
| Male | 30 | 20 | -33.3 |
| Female | 25 | 55 | 140.0 |
| Total - Accommodation and Food <br> Services | 265 | 230 | -13.2 |
| Male | 55 | 65 | 18.2 |
| Female | 210 | 165 | -21.4 |
| Total - Other Services (Except <br> Public Administration) | 230 | 160 | -30.4 |
| Male | 95 | 65 | -31.6 |
| Female | 135 | 95 | -29.6 |
| Total - Public Administration | 300 | 175 | -41.7 |
| Male | 140 | 100 | -28.6 |
| Female | 160 | 75 | -53.1 |
| Sore Stics |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

Table 46: Labour Force by Industry - Kitamaat

| Labour Force by Industry | 2001 | 2006* | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - All industries, labour force 15 years and over | 215 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 140 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 75 | n/a | n/a |
| Total-Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 35 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 30 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Mining and oil / gas | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Utilities | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Construction | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 10 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Manufacturing | 50 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 45 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Wholesale Trade | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Retail Trade | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Transportation and Warehousing | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| Total - Information and Cultural Industries | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Finance and Insurance | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Real Estate, Rental, and Leasing | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Management of Companies / Enterprises | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Administrative and Support, Waste Management and | 0 | n/a | n/a |


| Remediation Services |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Educational Services | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Health Care and Social <br> Assistance | 15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Arts, Entertainment, and <br> Recreation | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Accommodation and Food <br> Services | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Other Services (Except <br> Public Administration) | 10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total - Public Administration | 55 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male | 30 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female | 25 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 47: Labour Force by Industry - Terrace

| Labour Force by Industry | 2001 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - All industries, labour force 15 years and over | 6225 | 5890 | -5.4 |
| Male | 3355 | 2975 | -11.3 |
| Female | 2870 | 2915 | 1.6 |
| Total - Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 340 | 345 | 1.5 |
| Male | 295 | 275 | -6.8 |
| Female | 45 | 70 | 55.6 |
| Total - Mining and oil / gas | 10 | 10 | 0.0 |
| Male | 15 | 0 | -100.0 |
| Female | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total - Utilities | 45 | 45 | 0.0 |
| Male | 25 | 45 | 80.0 |
| Female | 15 | 0 | -100.0 |
| Total - Construction | 335 | 335 | 0.0 |
| Male | 290 | 270 | -6.9 |
| Female | 45 | 65 | 44.4 |
| Total - Manufacturing | 570 | 405 | -28.9 |
| Male | 500 | 380 | -24.0 |
| Female | 70 | 25 | -64.3 |
| Total - Wholesale Trade | 170 | 110 | -35.3 |
| Male | 140 | 85 | -39.3 |
| Female | 25 | 25 | 0.0 |
| Total - Retail Trade | 935 | 800 | -14.4 |
| Male | 485 | 380 | -21.6 |
| Female | 450 | 420 | -6.7 |
| Total - Transportation and Warehousing | 405 | 315 | -22.2 |
| Male | 285 | 205 | -28.1 |
| Female | 115 | 110 | -4.3 |
| Total - Information and Cultural Industries | 160 | 145 | -9.4 |
| Male | 110 | 85 | -22.7 |
| Female | 50 | 60 | 20.0 |
| Total - Finance and Insurance | 200 | 210 | 5.0 |
| Male | 80 | 70 | -12.5 |
| Female | 120 | 140 | 16.7 |
| Total - Real Estate, Rental, and Leasing | 80 | 50 | -37.5 |
| Male | 40 | 25 | -37.5 |
| Female | 40 | 20 | -50.0 |
| Total - Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 220 | 195 | -11.4 |
| Male | 135 | 130 | -3.7 |
| Female | 85 | 60 | -29.4 |
| Total - Management of Companies / Enterprises | 0 | 10 | - |
| Male | 0 | 0 | - |
| Female | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total - Administrative and Support, Waste Management and | 160 | 195 | 21.9 |


| Remediation Services |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 100 | 110 | 10.0 |
| Female | 60 | 85 | 41.7 |
| Total - Educational Services | 565 | 640 | 13.3 |
| Male | 170 | 185 | 8.8 |
| Female | 390 | 455 | 16.7 |
| Total - Health Care and Social <br> Assistance | 750 | 785 | 4.7 |
| Male | 105 | 125 | 19.0 |
| Female | 645 | 660 | 2.3 |
| Total - Arts, Entertainment, and <br> Recreation | 140 | 110 | -21.4 |
| Male | 85 | 60 | -29.4 |
| Female | 55 | 55 | 0.0 |
| Total - Accommodation and Food <br> Services | 535 | 575 | 7.5 |
| Male | 220 | 230 | 4.5 |
| Female | 320 | 340 | 6.3 |
| Total - Other Services (Except <br> Public Administration) | 265 | 215 | -18.9 |
| Male | 130 | 105 | -19.2 |
| Female | 135 | 110 | -18.5 |
| Total - Public Administration | 350 | 405 | 15.7 |
| Male | 145 | 205 | 41.4 |
| Female | 200 | 205 | 2.5 |
| Sour Staisics Cana |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

Table 48: Labour Force by Industry - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Labour Force by Industry | 2001 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - All industries, labour force 15 years and over | 20215 | 18605 | -8.0 |
| Male | 11340 | 10160 | -10.4 |
| Female | 8880 | 8445 | -4.9 |
| Total - Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 1425 | 1175 | -17.5 |
| Male | 1240 | 1020 | -17.7 |
| Female | 185 | 150 | -18.9 |
| Total - Mining and oil / gas | 140 | 205 | 46.4 |
| Male | 130 | 185 | 42.3 |
| Female | 0 | 20 | n/c |
| Total - Utilities | 145 | 100 | 31.0 |
| Male | 105 | 100 | -4.8 |
| Female | 40 | 0 | -100.0 |
| Total - Construction | 1380 | 1135 | -17.8 |
| Male | 1220 | 985 | -19.3 |
| Female | 155 | 155 | 0.0 |
| Total - Manufacturing | 3605 | 2980 | -17.3 |
| Male | 3030 | 2525 | -16.7 |
| Female | 580 | 455 | -21.6 |
| Total - Wholesale Trade | 380 | 315 | -17.1 |
| Male | 290 | 220 | -24.1 |
| Female | 90 | 100 | 11.1 |
| Total - Retail Trade | 2345 | 1905 | -18.8 |
| Male | 960 | 750 | -21.9 |
| Female | 1385 | 1160 | -16.2 |
| Total - Transportation and Warehousing | 1125 | 1000 | -11.1 |
| Male | 840 | 735 | -12.5 |
| Female | 285 | 260 | -8.8 |
| Total - Information and Cultural Industries | 250 | 315 | 26.0 |
| Male | 170 | 140 | -17.6 |
| Female | 80 | 175 | 118.8 |
| Total - Finance and Insurance | 415 | 370 | -10.8 |
| Male | 115 | 95 | -17.4 |
| Female | 300 | 280 | -6.7 |
| Total - Real Estate, Rental, and Leasing | 190 | 175 | -7.9 |
| Male | 85 | 55 | -35.3 |
| Female | 100 | 120 | 20.0 |
| Total - Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 525 | 570 | 8.6 |
| Male | 275 | 335 | 21.8 |
| Female | 255 | 235 | -7.8 |
| Total - Management of Companies / Enterprises | 0 | 15 | n/c |
| Male | 0 | 10 | n/c |
| Female | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total - Administrative and Support, Waste Management and | 540 | 555 | 2.8 |


| Remediation Services |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 305 | 335 | 9.8 |
| Female | 235 | 215 | -8.5 |
| Total - Educational Services | 1630 | 1730 | 6.1 |
| Male | 470 | 530 | 12.8 |
| Female | 1160 | 1195 | 3.0 |
| Total - Health Care and Social <br> Assistance | 2015 | 2070 | 2.7 |
| Male | 275 | 325 | 18.2 |
| Female | 1745 | 1750 | 0.3 |
| Total - Arts, Entertainment, and <br> Recreation | 285 | 325 | 14.0 |
| Male | 180 | 150 | -16.7 |
| Female | 105 | 170 | 61.9 |
| Total - Accommodation and Food <br> Services | 1370 | 1350 | -1.5 |
| Male | 500 | 490 | -2.0 |
| Female | 865 | 855 | -1.2 |
| Total - Other Services (Except <br> Public Administration) | 805 | 755 | -6.2 |
| Male | 345 | 365 | 5.8 |
| Female | 460 | 390 | -15.2 |
| Total - Public Administration | 1650 | 1545 | -6.4 |
| Male | 810 | 805 | -0.6 |
| Female | 840 | 740 | -11.9 |
| Sour Staisics Cana |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}=$ not calculable.

## Income Characteristics

Table 49: Income - Kitimat

| Earnings / Income | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2006 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Average earnings (all persons with earnings (\$)) |  | 36490 | 41483 | 41936 |  | 1.1 |
| Male |  | 47678 | 54153 | 56358 |  | 4.1 |
| Female |  | 20297 | 23395 | 26111 |  | 11.6 |
| Total - Average earnings (worked full year, full time (\$)) |  | 51841 | 57317 | 65242 |  | 13.8 |
| Male | 49244 | 58111 | 64495 | 75268 | 52.8 | 16.7 |
| Female | 29693 | 34474 | 39252 | 45532 | 53.3 | 16.0 |
| Total - Average earnings (worked part year, part time (\$)) |  | 21293 | 23390 | 25476 |  | 8.9 |
| Male | 27655 | 30676 | 34932 | 33048 | 19.5 | -5.4 |
| Female | 11740 | 13838 | 14431 | 18434 | 57.0 | 27.7 |
| Composition of total income (100\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Earnings as a \% of total income | 89.6 | 86.8 | 86.3 | 82.4 | -8.0 | -4.5 |
| Male |  |  |  | 86.3 |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  | 73.4 |  |  |
| Government transfers as a \% of total income | 6.0 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 33.3 | 9.6 |
| Male |  |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  | 14.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 50: Income - Kitamaat

| Earnings / Income | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006* | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2006 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Average earnings (all persons with earnings (\$)) |  | 20965 | 23932 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Male |  | 24848 | 28952 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Female |  | 12741 | 15023 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Average earnings (worked full year, full time (\$)) |  | 34299 | 42590 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 38446 | 38528 | 50576 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 26147 | 23136 | 26912 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total - Average earnings (worked part year, part time (\$)) |  | 10732 | 13497 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Male | 11398 | 13598 | 16160 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Female | 7068 | 6049 | 9043 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Composition of total income (100\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Earnings as a \% of total income | 77.3 | 77.9 | 72.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government transfers as a \% of total income | 20.4 | 20.5 | 24.7 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 51: Income - Terrace

| Earnings / Income | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2006 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Average earnings (all persons with earnings (\$)) |  | 29208 | 32204 | 32582 |  | 1.2 |
| Male |  | 35906 | 39850 | 38983 |  | -2.2 |
| Female |  | 21238 | 23484 | 26526 |  | 13.0 |
| Total - Average earnings (worked full year, full time (\$)) |  | 41536 | 46756 | 50383 |  | 7.8 |
| Male | 45188 | 46898 | 53430 | 59054 | 30.7 | 10.5 |
| Female | 26183 | 33175 | 36792 | 39489 | 50.8 | 7.3 |
| Total - Average earnings (worked part year, part time (\$)) |  | 19296 | 19960 | 20129 |  | 0.8 |
| Male | 26045 | 24710 | 25684 | 22232 | -14.6 | -13.4 |
| Female | 13120 | 14067 | 14749 | 18275 | 39.3 | 23.9 |
| Composition of total income $(100 \%)$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Earnings as a \% of total income | 86.7 | 83.5 | 81.5 | 76.9 | -11.3 | -5.6 |
| Male |  |  |  | 80.2 |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  | 72.2 |  |  |
| Government transfers as a \% of total income | 8.2 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 54.9 | 9.1 |
| Male |  |  |  | 9.4 |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

Table 52: Income - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Earnings / Income | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1991-2006 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Average earnings (all persons with earnings (\$)) |  | 29383 | 31937 | 33407 |  | 4.6 |
| Male |  | 36916 | 40113 | 39955 |  | -0.4 |
| Female |  | 19472 | 21749 | 25478 |  | 17.1 |
| Total - Average earnings (worked full year, full time (\$)) |  | 43883 | 47870 | 51413 |  | 7.4 |
| Male | 45125 | 50548 | 55283 | 59834 | 32.6 | 8.2 |
| Female | 26024 | 31742 | 35696 | 39359 | 51.2 | 10.3 |
| Total - Average earnings (worked part year, part time (\$)) |  | 18776 | 20547 | 20995 |  | 2.2 |
| Male | 23571 | 24133 | 26702 | 24714 | 4.8 | -7.4 |
| Female | 11334 | 13137 | 14199 | 17221 | 51.9 | 21.3 |
| Composition of total income $(100 \%)$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Earnings as a \% of total income | 86.4 | 84.5 | 81.9 | 79.5 | -8.0 | -2.9 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government transfers as a \% of total income | 9.2 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 33.7 | 4.2 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 1991, 1996, 2001, 2006a.

## Prevalence of Low Income

In Canada, there is no consensus about how poverty should be defined or measured. However, Statistics Canada's low income cut-off (LICO) has been used as a surrogate measure of low income. It is understood as the threshold at which families spend a higher proportion of their income on basic necessities. This threshold is based upon a 20 percentage point difference between low income and average household expenditures. This means that if the average family spends $43 \%$ of its income on basic necessities such as shelter, food, and clothing, then households spending $63 \%$ or more of their income on such necessities would be identified as low income.

Table 53: Before-Tax Versus After-Tax LICOs for 2005

| Number of People | Rural areas |  | Less than 30,000 |  | Less than 100,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| 1 person | 14,303 | 11,264 | 16,273 | 12,890 | 17,784 | 14,380 |
| 2 persons | 17,807 | 13,709 | 20,257 | 15,690 | 22,139 | 17,502 |
| 3 persons | 21,891 | 17,071 | 24,904 | 19,535 | 27,217 | 21,794 |
| 4 persons | 26,579 | 21,296 | 30,238 | 24,373 | 33,046 | 27,190 |
| 5 persons | 30,145 | 24,251 | 34,295 | 27,754 | 37,480 | 30,962 |
| 6 persons | 33,999 | 26,895 | 38,679 | 30,780 | 42,271 | 34,338 |
| 7 persons | 37,853 | 29,539 | 43,063 | 33,806 | 47,063 | 37,713 |

Source: Statistics Canada. 2006b. Low income Cut-Offs for 2005 and Low income Measures for 2004. Ottawa: Statistics Canada.

Table 54: Prevalence of Low Income - Kitimat

| Prevalence of Low income | 2006 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - economic families | 7.3 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - economic families | 4.9 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - couple economic families | 5.6 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - couple economic families | 4.1 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - male lone parent economic <br> families | 0 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - male lone parent economic <br> families | 0 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - female lone parent economic <br> families | 22.0 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - female lone parent economic <br> families | 12.0 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - persons 65 years of age + | 6.0 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> -persons 65 years of age + | 0 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - Total not in economic <br> families | 16.6 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - Total not in economic families | 10.2 |
| Male before tax | 6.6 |
| Male after tax | 4.1 |
| Female before tax | 19.3 |
| Female after tax |  |
| Soure Stasta Canada 2006a |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2006a.

Table 55: Prevalence of Low Income - Kitamaat

| Prevalence of Low income | 2006* |
| :--- | ---: |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - economic families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - economic families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - couple economic families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - couple economic families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - male lone parent economic <br> families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - male lone parent economic <br> families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - female lone parent economic <br> families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> female lone parent economic <br> families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - persons 65 years of age + | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> -persons 65 years of age + | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - Total not in economic <br> families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - Total not in economic families | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male before tax | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Male after tax |  |
| Female before tax | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Female after tax |  |
| Por |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2006a.
*Note: With a non-response rate of $25 \%$ or more, the 2006 long form Census data for Kitamaat was suppressed as the quality of the data was not deemed high enough to disseminate.

Table 56: Prevalence of Low Income - Terrace

| Earnings / Income | 2006 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - economic families | 12.4 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - economic families | 8.4 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - couple economic families | 6.3 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - couple economic families | 4.2 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - male lone parent economic <br> families | 44.4 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - male lone parent economic <br> families | 33.3 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - female lone parent economic <br> families | 44.0 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - female lone parent economic <br> families | 36.1 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - persons 65 years of age + | 10.8 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> -persons 65 years of age + | 2.2 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - Total not in economic <br> families | 34.3 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - Total not in economic families | 21.8 |
| Male before tax | 38.2 |
| Male after tax | 26.7 |
| Female before tax | 17.0 |
| Female after tax |  |
| Soure Sats Canada 2006a |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2006a.

Table 57: Prevalence of Low Income - Kitimat-Stikine Regional District

| Earnings / Income | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - economic families | 11.4 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - economic families | 8.1 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - couple economic families | 7.5 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - couple economic families | 5.5 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - male lone parent economic <br> families | 22.5 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - male lone parent economic <br> families | 17.9 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - female lone parent economic <br> families | 37.4 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - female lone parent economic <br> families | 26.8 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - persons 65 years of age + | 8.7 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> -persons 65 years of age + | 2.1 |
| Prevalence of low income before <br> tax - Total not in economic <br> families | 28.8 |
| Prevalence of low income after tax <br> - Total not in economic families | 20.4 |
| Male before tax | 26.5 |
| Male after tax | 20.3 |
| Female before tax | 20.7 |
| Female after tax |  |
| Soure Sats Canada 2006a |  |

Source: Statistics Canada 2006a.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Statistics Canada provides data on employment statistics, such as participation, employment, and unemployment rates. The participation rate refers to the proportion of residents over 15 years of age who are engaged in the labour force by either working or looking for work. The employment rate refers to the proportion of residents aged 15 years of age and older who are employed. The unemployment rate refers to the proportion of residents over 15 years of age who are unemployed, but looking for work. These rates exclude people who may be living in an institution, such as a hospital, nursing home, or prison.

[^1]:    Source: Statistics Canada 2001, 2006a.

